

June 2023 ~ Resource #390619



Treatments of Interest for COVID-19

The chart below provides information or resources on pharmacotherapy of interest for COVID-19, the disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Additional resources on pharmacotherapy, supportive therapy, and vaccines, many of which are frequently updated, include:

- American Society of Health-System Pharmacists COVID-19 resource center (https://www.ashp.org/covid-19).
- British Columbia Ministry of Health guidance on current research on COVID-19 treatments (http://www.bccdc.ca/health-professionals/clinical-resources/covid-19-care/treatments).
- NIH general treatment guidelines (https://covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/).
- NIH guidance for choosing outpatient treatments (https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/tables/therapeutic-management-of-nonhospitalized-adults/).
- **IDSA** treatment and management guidelines (https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-management/).
- WHO guidance on drugs for COVID-19 (https://www.bmj.com/content/370/bmj.m3379).
- Surviving Sepsis Campaign COVID-19 guidelines (https://sccm.org/SurvivingSepsisCampaign/Guidelines/COVID-19).
- Ontario's COVID-19 Science Briefs website: https://covid19-sciencetable.ca/science-briefs/#infectious-diseases-clinical-care.

For guidance from the **USP** on **sterile compounding** during the pandemic, including preparation of COVID-19 treatments such as monoclonal antibodies, see https://www.usp.org/compounding.

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE
Corticosteroids,	• Evidence Summary [Evidence level B-1]
systemic	• RECOVERY trial (dexamethasone $[n = 2,104]$ vs usual care $[n=]4,321$). ³ NNT = 8 to prevent one death in ventilated
	patients, or 34 in patients requiring oxygen but not ventilation. Possible harm in patients not requiring oxygen, and no
	benefit in early disease (symptoms for a week or less).
	• REMAP-CAP (n=403). ⁴ In patients admitted to ICU for respiratory or CV support, hydrocortisone was probably
	superior to no hydrocortisone in regard to organ support-free survival at day 21, but the study was stopped early.
	• CoDEX study (n=299). ⁵ In patients with moderate to severe ARDS, ventilator-free survival days through day 28
	were greater with dexamethasone (6.6 vs 4, p=0.04). However, 35% of the usual care patients received at least one
	dose of corticosteroids. Mortality was not affected, but the study was stopped early after the RECOVERY results.
	• CAPE COVID) (n=149). ⁶ Hydrocortisone infusion was not superior to placebo regarding death or need for
Continued	respiratory support (mechanical ventilation or high-flow oxygen) at day 21. However, the study was likely

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued
Systemic	underpowered to show a difference, and was stopped early pending RECOVERY publication.
corticosteroids,	• MetCOVID study (n=416). ⁷ 28-day mortality was lower for methylprednisolone vs placebo group in a subgroup of
continued	patients <60 years of age (46.6% vs 61.9%). Most patients received mechanical ventilation or non-invasive oxygen.
	Mortality was relatively high compared to the RECOVERY trial, and patients with septic shock were allowed to
	receive hydrocortisone, which could have affected results.
	• WHO meta-analysis (n=1,/03).• Included data from RECOVERY, CAPE COVID, CoDEX, REMAP-CAP, and three
	other studies. Mortality at day 28 was lower in critically ill patients who received corticosteroids vs those who did (220) and (220) and (220) and (20) (OD 0. (($0.050)$ (CI 0.52 to 0.82 to 0.001) 46. In the line late frame particulation of the second secon
	not receive them (32% vs 40%) (OR 0.06, 95% CI 0.53 to 0.82, p<0.001). Including data from ventilator patients
	Place in Thereny/Clinical Considerations
	• Frace in Therapy/Chinical Considerations
	with supplemental oxygen (but ok to use for another indication) 1,2
	• Reserve corticosteroids for hospitalized patients who require supplemental oxygen, mechanical ventilation, or
	ECMO. ^{1,2}
	• Dose: dexamethasone 6 mg/day. ^{1,2} (The COVID STEROID 2 trial suggests that dexamethasone 12 mg once daily
	might benefit patients needing high levels of respiratory support, so some prescribers might use this dose for select
	patients. ¹)
	• If dexamethasone is not available, methylprednisolone 32 mg or prednisone 40 mg once daily or divided twice daily,
	or hydrocortisone 160 mg in two to four divided doses daily. ¹
	• Continue for 10 days (or until discharge, if earlier). ¹ If a patient is discharged, due to bed scarcity, from the
	emergency department on supplemental oxygen, the corticosteroid can be continued, with close monitoring, for the
	duration of supplemental oxygen or ten days (whichever comes first).

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued
IL-6 antagonists	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued Tocilizumab (Actemra) • Evidence Summary • REMAP-CAP (n=353). ¹² Reduced mortality (27% vs 36% for standard care) if given within 24 hours of starting respiratory support (non-invasive or mechanical ventilation or high-flow oxygen) or vasopressors in the ICU. • RECOVERY (n=2,02). ⁹ Added to standard care, reduces mortality in hospitalized patients requiring oxygen or respiratory support who have baseline CRP ≥75 mg/L. Tocilizumab-treated patients were more likely to be discharged within 28 days (57% vs 50%). • EMPACTA, COVACTA, and REMDACTA. ¹⁰ No mortality benefit for tocilizumab. In EMPACTA, tocilizumab reduced a composite end point of need for mechanical ventilation or death (12% vs 19.3%). In COVACTA, median time to discharge or "ready for discharge" was 20 days in the tocilizumab group vs 28 days in the placebo group. ¹¹ • Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations • FDA-approved for hospitalized patients ≥2 years of age receiving systemic corticosteroids and supplemental oxygen, non-invasive or mechanical ventilation, or ECMO. ¹⁰ • NIH guidance recommends the addition of tocilizumab to dexamethasone ± remdesivir in patients with rapidly increasing oxygen needs and inflammatory markers, or requiring high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation. ¹ • Evidence Summary • Evidence Summary • Evidence sumports baricitinib over tocilizumab in patients who require high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation. ¹ • FDA-approved for hospitalized patients ≥2 years, and less commonly neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, secondary infections, bowel perforation. ¹

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources		
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .		
	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued		
Janus kinase	Baricitinib (<i>Olumiant</i>)		
inhibitors	Evidence Summary		
	 RECOVERY (n = 8,156):⁵² In this randomized, open-label study in a broad patient population, survival benefit was greatest in patients receiving high-flow oxygen or noninvasive ventilation. Almost all patients received a steroid.⁵² ACTT-2 (with remdesivir; n = 1,033).¹⁴ In patients with infiltrates, O2 saturation ≤94% on room air, or need for supplementation oxygen, mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, median time to recovery was 7 days for baricitinib vs 8 days for placeba. On Day 15, haristicity action to more block to have better placeba. The 		
	proportion of patients who died or progressed to non-invasive ventilation/high-flow oxygen or mechanical ventilation was 23% for baricitinib vs 28% for placebo.		
	 COV-BARRIER (n = 1,525).⁵³ 28-day mortality was 8.1% for baricitinib vs 13.3% for placebo in patients with an elevated inflammatory marker plus need for supplemental oxygen. Survival benefit was greatest in patients receiving high-flow oxygen or noninvasive ventilation. Most patients also received a corticosteroid. In a separate group requiring mechanical ventilation or ECMO, morality was 39.2% for baricitinib vs 58% for placebo (p=0.03).¹⁴ 		
	Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations		
	 FDA-approved for adults hospitalized with COVID-19 severe enough to require supplemental oxygen, non-invasive or mechanical ventilation, or ECMO.¹⁴ 		
	 EUA for patients 2 to <18 years of age requiring supplemental oxygen, non-invasive or mechanical ventilation, or ECMO.¹⁵ 		
	 NIH guidance recommends the addition of baricitinib to dexamethasone ± remdesivir in patients on conventional oxygen with rapidly increasing oxygen needs and inflammatory markers, high-flow oxygen, non-invasive or mechanical ventilation, or ECMO.¹ 		
	• Evidence supports baricitinib over tocilizumab in patients who require high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation. ¹		
	Tofacitinib (<i>Xeljanz</i>)		
	• Evidence Summary		
	\circ STOP-COVID (n=289): compared totacitinib in patients hospitalized for 2 hours. Most patients also received</th		
	ECMO Tofacitinib decreased the composite risk of death or respiratory failure vs placebo (18.1% vs 29% IRB 0.63		
	95% CI 0.41 to 0.97 p=0.041), but not duration of ICU or hospital stay. Death from any cause at day 28 was 2.8% in		
	the tofacitinib group vs 5.5% in the placebo group (HR 0.49, 95% CI 0.15 to 1.63). Risk of secondary infection was		
	not increased vs placebo. ¹⁶		
	Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations		
	• Consider tofacitinib only if baricitinib can't be used. ¹		
	• Dose is 10 mg twice daily to placebo for 14 days or until discharge. ¹⁶		

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued
Molnupiravir (<i>Lagevrio</i>)	 Evidence Summary Started within five days of symptom onset in mild to moderate COVID-19, molnupiravir seems to reduce the risk of hospitalization by about 30% (NNT = 35).¹⁷ Enrollment was completed before emergence of the Omicron variant, but molnupiravir has in vitro activity against Omicron.¹ In the open-label PANORAMIC trial, conducted during an Omicron-predominant period, molnupiravir-treated patients recovered an estimated four days earlier than patients who received usual care alone.¹ Molnupiravir did not reduce the composite end point of hospitalization or death, but 94% of patients had received at least three doeses of a COVID-19 vaccine and the rate of the composite end point was low in both the molnupiravir and usual care groups (1%).¹ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations In the US, molnupiravir is not as effective as <i>Paxlovid</i> (nirmatrel/vir/ritonavir) or rendesivir, and should be reserved for when these other options can't be used.^{1,17} Molnupiravir is NOT for initiation in patients requiring hospitalization for treatment of COVID-19.¹⁷ The most common side effects are diarrhea (2%), nausea (1%).¹⁷ Like other nucleoside analogs, molnupiravir is potentially mutagenic, so there are concerns about embryofetal toxicity (e.g., skeletal malformations) and changes to the viral spike protein.¹⁸ Assess for the possibility of pregnancy. The prescriber must document that the patient was made aware of the pregnancy registry.¹⁷ Males should use reliable contraception until four days after the last dose, and people of childbearing potential should use reliable contraception until four days after the last dose.¹⁷

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued
Nirmatrelvir/ ritonavir (<i>Paxlovid</i>)	 Evidence summary Nirmatrelvir is a SARS-CoV2-specific protease inhibitor.¹⁹ Ritonavir is added to inhibit its metabolism.¹⁹ When started within five days of symptom onset there was an 87% reduction in hospitalization or death vs placebo (NNT = 18).¹⁹ There were no deaths in the <i>Paxlovid</i> group.⁵⁹ Included patients had no history of COVID-19 infection or vaccination, and study enrollment was completed prior to the emergence of the Omicron variant.²⁰ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations <i>Paxlovid</i> is indicated for treatment in patients (US: ≥12 years and ≥40 kg; Canada: adults [≥18 years old]) at high risk of severe COVID-19.^{19,21} The most common side effects were dysgeusia (bad taste) (6%), diarrhea (3%), hypertension (1%), and myalgia (1%).^{19,21} If dysgeusia occurs, suggestions for patients include choosing foods with only a few ingredients; avoidance of spicy foods; and avoidance of preservative-heavy, very sweet foods.²² <i>Paxlovid</i> has the potential to interact with CYP3A4 substrates due to the ritonavir component, but for many patients the interaction will not be clinically significant with only five days of treatment, or can be managed, and will not constitute a contraidication. Help with <i>Paxlovid</i> drug interaction sciencing and management is available:

Drug	Pe	ertinent Information or Resources
	N	ote that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
		TREATMENTS WITH THE BEST EVIDENCE, continued
Remdesivir (Veklury)	•	Evidence summary $\triangle ACTT_1 (n = 1.062)$; remdesivir seemed to shorten time to recovery (10 days vs 15 days; $n < 0.001$) but not mortality.
(Venury)		at day 29^{23} Shortened recovery time was statistically significant only in patients who received treatment within ten days of symptoms onset. Remdesivir seemed to provide the most benefit for patients receiving low-flow oxygen at baseline, but this may be a reflection of subgroup sample size, and it cannot be concluded that other patients won't benefit.
		 SOLIDARITY: remdesivir reduced mortality among a subgroup receiving conventional or high-flow oxygen at baseline.¹
		 SIMPLE SEVERE: There was no significant difference between five days and ten days in regard to clinical status at day 14.²⁴ Patients receiving mechanical ventilation or ECMO were excluded.²⁴
		• A five-day course of remdesivir was associated with a statistically significant (but perhaps not clinically significant) improvement in clinical status on a seven-point ordinal scale in patients with moderate COVID-19 (radiographic evidence of pulmonary infiltrates and oxygen saturation >94% on room air) vs standard care (n=584). ²⁵ Most patients were not on any kind of supplemental oxygen. The clinical status score used in this study could have underestimated benefit in this population with nonsevere disease.
		• PINETREE (outpatients): NNT = 22 to prevent one hospitalization (i.e., ≥ 24 hours of acute care). ¹
	•	Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations
		○ Remdesivir is FDA-approved for treatment of COVID-19 in patients ≥28 days of age who weigh at least 3 kg who are hospitalized, or nonhospitalized with high risk of progression to severe disease. ²⁶ In Canada, remdesivir has received marketing authorization with conditions pending the results of additional clinical trials. ²⁷ Its authorized indication is treatment of COVID-19 pneumonia requiring supplemental oxygen in patients ≥12 years of age who weigh ≥40 kg, and outpatients at high risk of hospitalization or death.
		• NIH guidance: for outpatients at high risk of progressing to severe disease, consider remdesivir second-line, behind <i>Paxlovid</i> (nirmatrelvir/ritonavir). ¹ Inpatients receiving conventional oxygen (most evidence), high-flow oxygen, or noninvasive ventilation. ¹ If the patient progresses to more severe disease, complete the remdesivir course. ¹
		• The most common remdesivir adverse effects of remdesivir are nausea and transaminase elevations. ^{26,27} Discontinue in the event of f ALT elevation with symptoms of liver injury, and consider discontinuing if ALT is >10 x ULN. ²⁶ Canada: hold while ALT is \geq 5 x ULN, and stop if ALT elevation is accompanied by other signs or symptoms suggestive of liver injury. ²⁷
		 Product labeling recommends against use in severe renal impairment due to accumulation of cyclodextrin which may cause liver or renal toxicity.^{26,27,29} However, five days' treatment seems well-tolerated in severe renal impairment or hemodialysis.^{28,29} The aqueous formulation contains twice as much cyclodextrin as the powder.²⁶
		• Outpatients should be monitored for an hour post-dose. ⁴

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH LIMITED OR EMERGING EVIDENCE
Anakinra (<i>Kineret</i>)	 Evidence summary Anakinra was not effective for COVID-19 patients who were hypoxemic but did not require high-flow oxygen or ventilation (in CORIMUNO-ANA-1), or who required organ support COVID-19 (in REMAP-CAP).¹ The SAVE-MORE trial suggested benefit (lower risk of clinical progression vs placebo), but these patients were pre-selected for having elevated levels of suPAR, an assay which is not available in most institutions.¹ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations Anakinra has EUA in the US for COVID-19 treatment in hospitalized adults requiring low- or high-flow oxygen at risk of progressing to severe respiratory failure and likely to have elevated suPAR.³⁰ The EUA has details to help identify appropriate patients (https://www.fda.gov/media/163075/download). However, keep in mind that the scoring system designed to identify patients who might have a high suPAR has not been adequately validated.¹ Some institutions use anakinra in the treatment of COVID-19-related multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children.¹ NIH guidelines recommend neither for nor against use of anakinra for treatment of COVID-19, due to insufficient evidence.¹
Colchicine, outpatients	 Evidence summary ColCORONA (n=4,159): Colchicine (0.5 mg twice daily for three days, then once daily for 27 days) given to highrisk outpatients slightly reduced the composite primary end point of death or hospitalization vs placebo (4.6% vs 6%; OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.99, p=0.042), driven mainly by a reduction in hospitalization.³¹ Patients with severe kidney or liver disease were excluded. More cases of pulmonary embolism occurred in the colchicine group (11 vs 2).³¹ Limitations include the statistical analysis and study termination before the pre-planned number of patients were recruited. Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations NIH guidelines recommend against use of colchicine for treatment of COVID-19 in outpatients, except in a clinical trial.¹

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH LIMITED OR EMERGING EVIDENCE, continued
Convalescent plasma (COVID- 19), high-titer	 Evidence summary Open-label, randomized trials (RECOVERY, CONOR-1, and REMAP-CAP) did not find benefit in hospitalized, immunocompetent patients. Subgroup analysis of clinical trials that included immunocompromised patients (including REMAP-CAP) suggest a benefit. The efficacy of convalescent plasma against Omicron variants is unknown due to lack of clinical trials and difficulties in interpreting in vitro neutralizing activity and extrapolating in vitro data to real patients. In practice, there is no way of knowing if the convalescent plasma collected will have neutralizing activity in the patient/variant being treated.¹ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations In the US, high-titer convalescent plasma has EUA only for immunosuppressed patients (inpatients or outpatients).³² NIH guidance states that there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against use, but some panel members would try convalescent plasma for an immunocompromised patient with significant symptoms and signs of viral replication with unsatisfactory response to other therapy¹. Experts suggest using convalescent plasma from a vaccinated individual who recently recovered from variant likely caused by the same variant causing the patient's illness.¹
Corticosteroids, inhaled	 Evidence summary CONTAIN (n = 203). A combination of inhaled and intranasal ciclesonide was not effective vs placebo for symptom resolution in relatively young (median age 35 years) COVID-19 outpatients.³³ In a subsequent study using ciclesonide 320 mcg twice daily, time to symptom resolution was not reduced vs placebo, but need for a hospital visit or admission was reduced (1% vs 5.4%; OR 0.18, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.85) based on a small number of events.³⁴ PRINCIPLE, STOIC: two open-label studies using inhaled budesonide with conflicting results.¹ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations Inhaled corticosteroids should be continued in asthma or COPD patients with COVID-19.¹ NIH guidelines recommend neither for nor against inhaled corticosteroids for COVID-19 treatment due to insufficient evidence.¹

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH LIMITED OR EMERGING EVIDENCE, continued
Vilobelimab	• Evidence summary
(Gohibic)	 Vilobelimab is an anti-C5a antibody. Complement C5a is involved in the immune response to COVID-19 that causes viral sepsis and organ failure.⁵⁰ PANAMO (n=368): Patients receiving standard care (mostly dexamethasone) were randomized to vilobelimab or
	placebo within 48 hours of being placed on ECMO or mechanical ventilation. All-cause mortality was reduced (32% vs 42%), but this was not statistically significant without additional statistical manipulation. ⁵⁰
	Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations
	 In the US, vilobelimab has received EUA for treatment of COVID-19 when initiated within 48 hours of starting mechanical ventilation or ECMO.⁵¹
	• Dose is 800 mg infused over 30 to 60 minutes on days 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, and 22 while the patient is in the hospital. ⁵⁰
	• The most common adverse effects include pneumonia (non-COVID) and other infections, sepsis, delirium, venous thromboembolism, hypertension, supraventricular tachycardia, pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, elevated liver enzumes, and thromboeutononia ⁵¹ Human sensitivity reactions (a.g., rash) have been reported ^{50,51}
	Very little experience in patients also receiving an IL-6 inhibitor or Janus kinase inhibitor ⁵⁰
	\sim No drug interaction studies have been conducted ⁵¹
	TREATMENTS WITH NO CLINICALLY IMPORTANT BENEFIT
Colchicine,	Evidence Summary
inpatients	• The large RECOVERY trial discontinued its colchicine arm in hospitalized COVID-19 patients due to futility
	regarding mortality benefit. ³⁵
	Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations
	• NIH guidelines recommend against use in hospitalized patients. ¹
Famotidine	Evidence Summary
	• Hospitalized: One retrospective US study (n= 1,620) found an association between famotidine use and reduced risk
	of death or intubation, but a subsequent retrospective study in which famotidine users were matched to non-users to
	control for 12 potential confounders found no mortality benefit, and in fact, 30-day mortality was higher among
	patients who had not been receiving famotidine at home. ^{38,39} In an open-label, placebo-controlled study ($n = 178$),
	famotidine reduced time to recovery and discharge, but did not affect mortality or need for intensive care or
	mechanical ventilation. ³⁷ Number $(1, 5, 5)$. From $(1, 1, 5)$ is a final final transformation to provide the last $(1, 5, 5)$.
	• Nonnospitalized (n=55): Famotidine did not reduce time to symptom resolution by study day 28 vs placebo ($p=0.4$). ³⁰
	Place in Therepy/Clinical Considerations
	• Flace in Therapy/Unitical Considerations
	0 The IDSA suggests against use of famoutume for COVID-19. ⁻

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH NO CLINICALLY IMPORTANT BENEFIT, continued
Fluvoxamine	 Evidence Summary TOGETHER (n = 1,497): Fluvoxamine reduced the risk of a composite outcome of emergency department stay >6 hours or admission to a tertiary care hospital vs placebo. However, the difference between treatments in hospitalizations alone was not significant, and the clinical importance of the emergency department stay outcome has been questioned.^{1,41} Poor tolerability may have affected adherence.⁴¹ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations The FDA declined EUA for fluvoxamine for COVID-19 based on TOGETHER, smaller studies, and other data. Reasons included study limitations, lack of clinically meaningful benefit, paucity of evidence to support its mechanism of action in COVID-19, and availability of other treatments ⁴⁰
	• NIH guidelines recommend neither for not against fluvoxamine for COVID-19 due to insufficient evidence. ¹
Hydroxy- chloroquine/ chloroquine	 Evidence Summary Early enthusiasm for hydroxychloroquine +/- azithromycin was based on a widely publicized open-label study.⁴³ Subsequent studies, several with significant limitations, did not consistently show clinically meaningful benefit, and some even showed net harm (e.g., increased mortality).^{1,2} Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations The FDA revoked EUA for chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine because they are unlikely to be effective, and any potential benefits no longer outweigh the known and potential side effects (e.g., serious cardiac events and other serious side effects).⁴²
	 NIH guidelines recommend against the use of azithromycin, chloroquine, or hydroxychloroquine in inpatients or outpatients for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19.¹
Ivermectin	 Evidence Summary Meta-analyses that showed a mortality benefit included a large preprint study that has since been retracted.⁴⁵ Meta-analyses that did not include the retracted study could not find benefit for mortality, recovery, or viral clearance, or as prophylaxis.⁴⁶
	• Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations
	 NIH guidelines recommend against use of ivermectin for treatment of COVID-19.¹ The American Medical Association, American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, and the American Pharmacists Association oppose ivermectin use for COVID-19 except in a clinical trial.⁴⁴ Ivermectin can be used for empiric treatment of strongyloidiasis in patients receiving dexamethasone plus tocilizumab who have lived in an area where <i>Strongyloides</i> is endemic.¹ Overdose, such as happens when people self-medicate with ivermectin intended for animals or take more than the usual dose can cause vomiting hypotension ataxia seizures coma and death ⁴⁷

Drug	Pertinent Information or Resources
	Note that DOSES provided are examples only for ADULTS .
	TREATMENTS WITH NO CLINICALLY IMPORTANT BENEFIT, continued
Metformin	 Evidence Summary TOGETHER, COVID-OUT: In these randomized, placebo-controlled trials in nonhospitalized patients, metformin did not reduce outcomes such as emergency department visits, hospitalization, or mortality.^{48,49} Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations NIH guidelines recommend against use of metformin for treatment of COVID-19.¹ Patients who are receiving metformin for another indication can continue it.¹
Vitamin C, vitamin D, zinc	 Evidence Summary Most studies have significant limitations, and have used various doses, formulations, outcome measures, concomitant medications, and populations, making it difficult to draw conclusions.¹ Although low vitamin D is a risk factor for COVID-19 and poor clinical outcomes, supplementation has not been shown to prevent infection or improve outcomes.¹ Place in Therapy/Clinical Considerations NIH guidelines recommend neither for nor against use of vitamin C, vitamin D, or zinc to treat COVID-19, due to insufficient evidence.^{1v} NIH guidelines recommend neither for nor against use of vitamin D for prevention of COVID-19, due to insufficient evidence.¹ Zinc doses above the RDA should not be used for COVID-19 prevention outside of a clinical trial.¹

Abbreviations: ALT = alanine aminotransferase; CV = cardiovascular; ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; EUA = Emergency Use Authorization; ICU = intensive care unit; RDA = Recommended Daily Allowance; suPAR = plasma soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor; ULN = upper limit of normal.

Users of this resource are cautioned to use their own professional judgment and consult any other necessary or appropriate sources prior to making clinical judgments based on the content of this document. Our editors have researched the information with input from experts, government agencies, and national organizations. Information and internet links in this article were current as of the date of publication.

Levels of Evidence

In accordance with our goal of providing Evidence-Based information, we are citing the **LEVEL OF EVIDENCE** for the clinical recommendations we publish.

Level	Definition		Study Quality
A	Good-quality patient-oriented evidence.*	1.	High-quality randomized controlled trial (RCT)
		2.	Systematic review (SR)/Meta-analysis of RCTs with consistent findings
		3.	All-or-none study
В	Inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence.*	1. 2. 3. 4.	Lower-quality RCT SR/Meta-analysis with low-quality clinical trials or of studies with inconsistent findings Cohort study Case control study
С	Consensus; usual practice; expert opinion; disease-oriented evidence (e.g., physiologic or surrogate endpoints); case series for studies of diagnosis treatment prevention or screening		

*Outcomes that matter to patients (e.g., morbidity, mortality, symptom improvement, quality of life).

[Adapted from Ebell MH, Siwek J, Weiss BD, et al. Strength of recommendation taxonomy (SORT): a patient-centered approach to grading evidence in the medical literature. Am Fam Physician. 2004 Feb 1;69(3):548-56.

https://www.aafp.org/pubs/afp/issues/2004/0201/p548.html.]

References

- NIH. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Treatment guidelines. Last updated May 2, 2023. https://covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/. (Accessed May 9, 2023).
- Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with COVID-19. Last updated May 15, 2023. https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19guideline-treatment-and-management/. (Accessed May 26, 2023).
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 25;384(8):693-704.
- Angus DC, Derde L, Al-Beidh F, et al. Effect of Hydrocortisone on Mortality and Organ Support in Patients With Severe COVID-19: The REMAP-CAP COVID-19 Corticosteroid Domain Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Oct 6;324(13):1317-1329.
- Tomazini BM, Maia IS, Cavalcanti AB, et al. Effect of Dexamethasone on Days Alive and Ventilator-Free in Patients With Moderate or Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and COVID-19: The CoDEX Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Oct 6;324(13):1307-1316.

- Dequin PF, Heming N, Meziani F, et al. CAPE COVID Trial Group and the CRICS-TriGGERSep Network. Effect of Hydrocortisone on 21-Day Mortality or Respiratory Support Among Critically III Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Oct 6;324(13):1298-1306.
- Jeronimo CMP, Farias MEL, Val FFA, et al. Methylprednisolone as Adjunctive Therapy for Patients Hospitalized With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19; Metcovid): A Randomized, Double-blind, Phase IIb, Placebo-controlled Trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2021 May 4;72(9):e373-e381.
- WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group, Sterne JAC, Murthy S, et al. Association Between Administration of Systemic Corticosteroids and Mortality Among Critically III Patients With COVID-19: A Meta-analysis. JAMA. 2020 Oct 6;324(13):1330-1341.
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. Lancet. 2021 May 1;397(10285):1637-1645.
- 10. Product information for Actemra. Genentech. South San Francisco, CA 94080. December 2022.
- Rosas IO, Bräu N, Waters M, et al. Tocilizumab in Hospitalized Patients with Severe Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021 Apr 22;384(16):1503-1516.
- REMAP-CAP Investigators; Gordon AC, Mouncey PR, et al. Interleukin-6 Receptor Antagonists in Critically III Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Apr 22;384(16):1491-1502.
- NHS. University Hospitals Birmingham. COVID-19 tocilizumab & sarilumab treatment protocol. Nov. 29, 2021. https://www.uhb.nhs.uk/coronavirusstaff/clinical-info-pathways/clinical-info-pathwaysdownloads/c156-tocilizumab-treatment-protocol.pdf. (Accessed June 9, 2022).
- 14. Product information for Olumiant. Lilly USA. Indianapolis, IN 46285. June 2022.
- FDA. Fact sheet for healthcare providers. Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of baricitinib. May 2022. https://www.fda.gov/media/143823/download. (Accessed May 8, 2023).
- Guimarães PO, Quirk D, Furtado RH, et al. Tofacitinib in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jul 29;385(5):406-415.
- FDA. Fact sheet for healthcare providers: Emergency Use Authorization for Lagevrio (molnupiravir) capsules. February 23, 2023. https://www.fda.gov/media/155054/download. (Accessed May 9, 2023).
- FDA. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. FDA briefing document. Antimicrobial Drugs Advisory Committee meeting. November 30, 2021. https://www.fda.gov/media/154418/download. (Accessed May 9, 2023).
- 19. Product information for Paxlovid. Pfizer. New York, NY 10017. May 2023.

- Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for Paxlovid (nirmatrelvir tablets co-packaged with ritonavir tablets). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) review. May 25, 2023. https://www.fda.gov/media/155049/download. (Accessed May 9, 2023).
- 21. Product monograph for Paxlovid. Pfizer Canada. Kirkland, QC H9J 2M5. December 2022.
- Cleveland Clinic. Dysgeusia. Last reviewed November 4, 2021. https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/diseases/22047dysgeusia. (Accessed May 9, 2023).
- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 - Final Report. N Engl J Med. 2020 Nov 5;383(19):1813-1826.
- Goldman JD, Lye DCB, Hui DS, et al. Remdesivir for 5 or 10 Days in Patients with Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 Nov 5;383(19):1827-1837.
- Spinner CD, Gottlieb RL, Criner GJ, et al. Effect of Remdesivir vs Standard Care on Clinical Status at 11 Days in Patients With Moderate COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Sep 15;324(11):1048-1057.
- 26. Product information for Veklury. Gilead Sciences. Foster City, CA 94404. April 2023.
- 27. Product monograph for Veklury. Gilead Sciences Canada. Mississauga, ON L5N 2W3. April 2022.
- Umemura T, Mutoh Y, Mizuno T, et al. Safety Evaluation of Remdesivir for COVID-19 Patients with eGFR < 30 mL/min without Renal Replacement Therapy in a Japanese Single-Center Study. Healthcare (Basel). 2022 Nov 17;10(11):2299.
- 29. Pettit NN, Pisano J, Nguyen CT, et al. Remdesivir Use in the Setting of Severe Renal Impairment: A Theoretical Concern or Real Risk? Clin Infect Dis. 2021 Dec 6;73(11):e3990-e3995.
- FDA. Fact sheet for healthcare providers: Emergency Use Authorization for Kineret. November 2022. https://www.fda.gov/media/163075/download. (Accessed May 10, 2023).
- Tardif JC, Bouabdallaoui N, L'Allier PL, et al. Colchicine for community-treated patients with COVID-19 (COLCORONA): a phase 3, randomised, double-blinded, adaptive, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Aug;9(8):924-932.
- FDA. Fact sheet for health care providers. Emergency use authorization (EUA) of COVID-19 convalescent plasma for treatment of COVID-19. December 28, 2021. https://www.fda.gov/media/141478/download. (Accessed May 10, 2023).
- Ezer N, Belga S, Daneman N, et al. Inhaled and intranasal ciclesonide for the treatment of covid-19 in adult outpatients: CONTAIN phase II randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2021 Nov 2;375:e068060.
- 34. Clemency BM, Varughese R, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, et al. Efficacy of Inhaled Ciclesonide for Outpatient Treatment of Adolescents and Adults With Symptomatic COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2022 Jan 1;182(1):42-49.
- 35. Anon. RECOVERY trial closes recruitment to colchicine treatment for patients hospitalised with

COVIID-19. March 5, 2021. https://www.recoverytrial.net/news/recovery-trialcloses-recruitment-to-colchicine-treatment-forpatients-hospitalised-with-covid-19. (Accessed May 10, 2023).

- Brennan CM, Nadella S, Zhao X, et al. Oral famotidine versus placebo in non-hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, data-intense, phase 2 clinical trial. Gut. 2022 May;71(5):879-888.
- 37. Pahwani S, Kumar M, Aperna F, et al. Efficacy of Oral Famotidine in Patients Hospitalized With Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Cureus. 2022 Feb 20;14(2):e22404.
- Freedberg DE, Conigliaro J, Wang TC, et al. Famotidine Use Is Associated With Improved Clinical Outcomes in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Propensity Score Matched Retrospective Cohort Study. Gastroenterology. 2020 Sep;159(3):1129-1131.
- 39. Yeramaneni S, Doshi P, Sands K, et al. Famotidine Use Is Not Associated With 30-day Mortality: A Coarsened Exact Match Study in 7158 Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 From a Large Healthcare System. Gastroenterology. 2021 Feb;160(3):919-921.
- 40. FDA. Memorandum explaining basis for declining request for emergency use authorization of fluvoxamine maleate. May 6, 2022. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nd a/2020/EUA%20110%20Fluvoxamine%20Decisional %20Memo_Redacted.pdf. (Accessed May 10, 2023).
- Reis G, Dos Santos Moreira-Silva EA, Silva DCM, et al. Effect of early treatment with fluvoxamine on risk of emergency care and hospitalisation among patients with COVID-19: the TOGETHER randomised, platform clinical trial. Lancet Glob Health. 2022 Jan;10(1):e42-e51.
- 42. FDA. Frequently asked guestions on the revocation of the Emergency Use Authorization for hydroxychloroquine sulfate and chloroquine phosphate. 19, 2020. June https://www.fda.gov/media/138946/download. (Accessed May 10, 2023).
- Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label nonrandomized clinical trial. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020 Jul;56(1):105949.
- 44. Press release. AMA, APhA, ASHP statement on ending use of ivermectin to treat COVID-19. September 1, 2021. https://www.ama-assn.org/presscenter/press-releases/ama-apha-ashp-statementending-use-ivermectin-treat-covid-19. (Accessed May 10, 2023).
- Hill A, Garratt A, Levi J, et al. Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials of Ivermectin to Treat SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2021 Jul 6;8(11):ofab358. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab358. Retraction in: Open Forum Infect Dis. 2022 Feb 05;9(3):ofac056.
- 46. Roman YM, Burela PA, Pasupuleti V, et al. Ivermectin for the Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019: A

Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Clin Infect Dis. 2022 Mar 23;74(6):1022-1029.

- FDA. Why you should not use ivermectin to treat or prevent COVID-19. December 10, 2021. https://www.fda.gov/consumers/consumerupdates/why-you-should-not-use-ivermectin-treat-orprevent-covid-19. (Accessed June 7, 2022).
- Bramante CT, Huling JD, Tignanelli CJ, et al. Randomized Trial of Metformin, Ivermectin, and Fluvoxamine for Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2022 Aug 18;387(7):599-610.
- 49. Reis G, Dos Santos Moreira Silva EA, Medeiros Silva DC, et al. Effect of early treatment with metformin on risk of emergency care and hospitalization among patients with COVID-19: The TOGETHER randomized platform clinical trial. Lancet Reg Health Am. 2022 Feb;6:100142.
- Vlaar APJ, Witzenrath M, van Paassen P, et al. Anti-C5a antibody (vilobelimab) therapy for critically ill, invasively mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19 (PANAMO): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2022 Dec;10(12):1137-1146.

- 51. FDA. Fact sheet for healthcare providers: Emergency Use Authorization for Gohibic. April 2023. https://www.fda.gov/media/166824/download. (Accessed May 10, 2023).
- 52. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Baricitinib in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial and updated meta-analysis. Lancet. 2022 Jul 30;400(10349):359-368. Erratum in: Lancet. 2022 Oct 1;400(10358):1102.
- 53. Marconi VC, Ramanan AV, de Bono S, et al. Efficacy and safety of baricitinib for the treatment of hospitalised adults with COVID-19 (COV-BARRIER): a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, placebocontrolled phase 3 trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Dec;9(12):1407-1418. Erratum in: Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Oct;9(10):e102.

Cite this document as follows: Clinical Resource, Treatments of Interest for COVID-19. Pharmacist's Letter/Pharmacy Technician's Letter. June 2023. [390619]

-To access hundreds more clinical resources like this one, visit trchealthcare.com to log in or subscribe-